INO MINUTES WERE GENERATED FOR TUESDAY, AUGUST 24, 2010.]

1494

SUPREME COURT MINUTES WEDNESDAY, AUGUST 25, 2010 SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA

S178957 B200006 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 MERRILL (RICHARD) v. LESLIE CONTROLS, INC./(ELLIOTT COMPANY)

Order filed: cause suspended due to bankruptcy stay

The court is in receipt of a notice from appellant that a bankruptcy petition has been filed. Such notice operates as an automatic stay in this proceeding and the applicable time periods of rule 8.520 of the California Rules of Court are hereby suspended.

Counsel for the appellant is directed to file quarterly reports with the Clerk of this court regarding the status of this bankruptcy action. At such time as this court receives proper notice terminating or granting relief from the bankruptcy stay of proceedings, the court will enter an order terminating the suspension of the applicable time periods of rule 8.520 and said time periods shall begin running anew from the date of that order.

S185732 A129357 First Appellate District, Div. 1 CHAMBERLIN (JESSICA) v.

S.C. (PEOPLE)

Petition for review & application for stay denied

S183154 C061353 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. GARIBAY (GONZALO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 28, 2010.

S183769 D055552 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 IN RE DAMIAN M. The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 27, 2010.

S183826 C060726 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. McDONOUGH (CLIFFORD KEITH)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 30, 2010.

S183860 B209375 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. CRUZ (EDWIN)**The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S183865 B212821 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. HOLT (CHRISTOPHER)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S183872 F057748 Fifth Appellate District PEOPLE v. WITZIG (LARRY JOSEPH)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S183901 B213632 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 PEOPLE v. HONG (JUSTIN SUNG)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S183921 B213769 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. RODRIGUEZ (ISAEL ERNESTO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S183938 B212139 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 **PEOPLE v. JARA (ALVARO)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S183941 B211048 Second Appellate District, Div. 8 **PEOPLE v. MEJIA (JOHNNY)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 27, 2010.

S183958 B206972 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 **IN RE ANDREA C.** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S183966 B224789 Second Appellate District, Div. 2 PACE (STEVEN) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S183972 E047165 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. FLORES (GEORGE)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 27, 2010.

S183974 H033012 Sixth Appellate District PEOPLE v. O'CONNELL (JASON SETH)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S183976 D053377 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 1 PEOPLE v. ROBERTS (JAMAL ROOSEVELT)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 28, 2010.

S183979 C061879 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. SALCEDO (GABRIEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S184011 E047031 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. ROMO (RICHARD)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 24, 2010.

S184036 B208440/B212435 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 LOCKTON (DAVID B.) v. O'ROURKE (MICHAEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 27, 2010.

S184040 G040600 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 3 PEOPLE v. NGUYEN (HUY NGOC)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 28, 2010.

S184044 C059700 Third Appellate District **PEOPLE v. VANG (YANG)** The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 27, 2010.

S184062 C061704 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. FULSOM (ANTHONY SAMUEL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 28, 2010.

S184063 B221783 Second Appellate District, Div. 4 ADAMS (PHILLIP) ON H.C. The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 30, 2010.

S184064 C062337 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. EDWARDS (RONNIE LEVON)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 27, 2010.

S184118 B212370 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. LOPEZ (JUAN CARLOS)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 29, 2010.

S184140 E047780 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 PEOPLE v. JACKSON (KEVIN O'NEIL)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 30, 2010.

S184142 B213097 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 PEOPLE v. RAMIREZ (RICHARD MARIO)

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 30, 2010.

S184153 B225011 Second Appellate District, Div. 3 LEASURE (WILLIAM) ON H.C.

The time for granting or denying review in the above-entitled matter is hereby extended to September 30, 2010.

S029551

PEOPLE v. JOHNSON (JOE EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Kent Barkhurst's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by November 17, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 18, 2010. After that date, only one further extension totaling about 30 additional days is contemplated.

S029843 PEOPLE v. BECK (JAMES DAVID) & CRUZ (GERALD DEAN)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy State Public Defender William T. Lowe's representation that he anticipates filing appellant Gerald Dean Cruz's reply brief by mid-August 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 26, 2010. After that date, only five further extensions totaling about 290 additional days are contemplated.

S044693

PEOPLE v. WALL (RANDALL CLARK)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 29, 2010.

PEOPLE v. SCULLY (ROBERT WALTER)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Supervising Deputy State Public Defender Margot Garey's representation that she anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by March 31, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 29, 2010. After that date, only three further extensions totaling about 160 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

S076337

PEOPLE v. CHARLES III (EDWARD)

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel R. Clayton Seaman, Jr.'s representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's reply brief by October 10, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 12, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S086234

PEOPLE v. MILES (JOHNNY DUANE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 18, 2010.

S104665

PEOPLE v. POORE (CHRISTOPHER ERIC)

Extension of time granted

Appellant's request for relief from default is granted.

Good cause appearing, and based upon counsel David S. Adams's representation that he anticipates filing the appellant's opening brief by December 31, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that brief is granted to October 8, 2010. After that date, only seven further extensions totaling about 450 additional days are contemplated.

An application to file an overlength brief must be served and filed no later than 60 days before the anticipated filing date. (See Cal. Rules of Court, rule 8.631(d)(1)(A)(ii) & (B)(ii).)

PEOPLE v. STESKAL (MAURICE GERALD)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 22, 2010.

S127621

PEOPLE v. ERSKINE (SCOTT THOMAS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 26, 2010.

S132256

PEOPLE v. HELZER (GLEN TAYLOR)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 26, 2010.

S143531

PEOPLE v. LEON (JOSE LUIS)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file appellant's opening brief is extended to October 25, 2010.

S166477

MUNGIA (JOHN) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Lise S. Jacobson's representation that she anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by February 2, 2011, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to November 1, 2010. After that date, only two further extensions totaling about 90 additional days are contemplated.

S176886

C055923 Third Appellate District

PEOPLE v. DUNGO (REYNALDO SANTOS)

Extension of time granted

On application of respondent and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to September 19. 2010.

S177401 B208225 Second Appellate District, Div. 5 O'NEIL (BARBARA J.) v. CRANE COMPANY

Extension of time granted

On joint application of appellants and respondents and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the responses to amicus curiae briefs is extended to September 22, 2010.

S181061

JURADO (ROBERT) ON H.C.

Extension of time granted

Good cause appearing, and based upon Deputy Attorney General Marvin E. Mizell's representation that he anticipates filing the informal response to the petition for writ of habeas corpus by October 22, 2010, counsel's request for an extension of time in which to file that document is granted to October 22, 2010. After that date, no further extension is contemplated.

S181627 B211127 Second Appellate District, Div. 6 DIAZ (DAWN RENAE) v. CARCAMO (JOSE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellants and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the reply brief on the merits is extended to September 17, 2010.

S181638 E047368 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2 IN RE W.B., JR.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to September 20, 2010.

S182042 C060532 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. MAULTSBY (WILLIAM FREDERICK)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to September 22, 2010.

S182263 C058326 Third Appellate District PEOPLE v. MILWARD (GEORGE)

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the Opening Brief on the Merits is extended to October 7, 2010.

S183320 F058395 Fifth Appellate District

IN RE K.C.

Extension of time granted

On application of appellant and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the time to serve and file the opening brief on the merits is extended to September 23, 2010.

No further extension will be granted.

S183260 A123659 First Appellate District, Div. 3

PEOPLE v. NORTON (JEFFREY DANIEL)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Alex Coolman is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S183724 H034048 Sixth Appellate District

PEOPLE v. HOPKINS (JASON)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, the Sixth District Appellate Program is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S183964 A125270 First Appellate District, Div. 4

PEOPLE v. HENRY, JR., (MICHAEL ANTHONY)

Counsel appointment order filed

Upon request of appellant for appointment of counsel, Gene D. Vorobyov is hereby appointed to represent appellant on the appeal now pending in this court.

S077009

PEOPLE v. CARRASCO (ROBERT)

Order filed

Good cause appearing, appellant's request for relief from default to file the appellant's reply brief is granted.

S178542

E047207 Fourth Appellate District, Div. 2

ZHANG (YANTING) v. S.C. (CALIFORNIA CAPITAL INSURANCE COMPANY)

Order filed

The application of petitioner to file combined answer to amici curiae briefs of Association of California Insurance Companies American et al., California Land Title Association, and American Insurance Association is hereby granted.

S181760 A125750 First Appellate District, Div. 2

ST. JOHN'S WELL CHILD & FAMILY CENTER v. **SCHWARZENEGGER** (ARNOLD)/(STEINBERG)

Order filed

The request of counsel for petitioners and interveners in the above-referenced cause to allow separate counsel to argue on their behalf at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request of petitioners and interveners to allocate to St. John's Well Child & Family Center et al., 23 minutes and Steinberg et al., 22 minutes of their joint 45-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S183010

SONG ON DISCIPLINE

Order filed

Due to clerical error on the part of the State Bar of California, and good cause appearing, it is ordered that the order filed on July 22, 2010, suspending JOOHAN JAMES SONG from the practice of law is amended nunc pro tunc as of July 22, 2010, to substitute March 19, 2010, as the filing date of the Opinion of the Review Department of the State Bar Court.

S183411	C061011 Third Appellate District	PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERS IN CALIFORNIA
		GOVERNMENT v. SCHWARZENEGGER (ARNOLD)/(CHIANG)
	C061009 Third Appellate District	CALIFORNIA ATTORNEYS v. SCHWARZENEGGER
	C061020 Third Appellate District	(ARNOLD)/(CHIANG) SERVICE EMPLOYEES
		INTERNATIONAL UNION, LOCAL 1000 v. SCHWARZENEGGER (ARNOLD)/(CHIANG)

Order filed

The request of counsel for appellants in the above-referenced cause to allow four counsel to argue on behalf of appellants at oral argument is hereby granted.

The request of appellants to allocate to California Attorneys, Administrative Law Judges and Hearing Officers in State Employment, 10 minutes, Service Employees International Union, Local 1000, 10 minutes, Professional Engineers in California Government et al., 15 minutes, and State Controller John Chiang, 10 minutes of appellants' 45-minute allotted time for oral argument is granted.

S185136 B225239 Second Appellate District, Div. 1 GREY

GREY (CURTIS LE BARRON) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Order filed

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S185158 C065549 Third Appellate District

CAPOGRECO (KENNETH E.) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Order filed

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S184907

FARLOUGH (DEXTER SAMUEL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S185006

RED, JR., (GEORGE) v. DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS & REHABILITATION (DICKINSON)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Sixth Appellate District.

S185040

MURAWSKI (MICHAEL C.) v. S.C. (NEWSOM)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

GREEN (LONZELL) v. S.C. (PEOPLE)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, First Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, First Appellate District, for consideration in light of *Hagan v. Superior Court* (1962) 57 Cal.2d 767. In the event the Court of Appeal determines that this petition is substantially identical to a prior petition, the repetitious petition must be denied.

S185521

OTT (GREGORY) v.
DEPARTMENT OF
CORRECTIONS &
REHABILITATION (CATES)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Third Appellate District.

S185523

OTT (GREGORY) v. S.C. (DEPARTMENT OF CORRECTIONS AND REHABILITATION)

Transferred to Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District

The above-entitled matter is transferred to the Court of Appeal, Second Appellate District.

S183845

KLUGMAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that STEVEN MARK KLUGMAN, State Bar Number 53902, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. STEVEN MARK KLUGMAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first four months of probation;
- 2. STEVEN MARK KLUGMAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on April 23, 2010.; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if STEVEN MARK KLUGMAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

STEVEN MARK KLUGMAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) STEVEN MARK KLUGMAN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court

and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order. Failure to do so may result in disbarment or suspension.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S183846

GREGORY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ROBERT MILES GREGORY, State Bar Number 181193, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ROBERT MILES GREGORY is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. ROBERT MILES GREGORY must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on April 23, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ROBERT MILES GREGORY has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ROBERT MILES GREGORY must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. ROBERT MILES GREGORY must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5

S183848

BULLOCK-CARRERA ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that DONNA BULLOCK-CARRERA, State Bar Number 109223, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. DONNA BULLOCK-CARRERA must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 5, 2010; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if DONNA BULLOCK-CARRERA has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be

satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-half of the costs must be paid with her membership fees for each of the years 2011 and 2012. If DONNA BULLOCK-CARRERA fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately.

S183851

GERDTS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that MARLENE GERDTS, State Bar Number 93815, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for four years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. MARLENE GERDTS is suspended from the practice of law for the first two years of probation (with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6233 which commenced on September 14, 2007 and ended on April 20, 2010).
- 2. MARLENE GERDTS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on April 20, 2010.
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if MARLENE GERDTS has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

MARLENE GERDTS must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S183856

LISS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that STEVEN ROBERT LISS, State Bar Number 129527, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

STEVEN ROBERT LISS must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on March 22, 2010 and the Correction Order filed on April 1, 2010. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

STEVEN ROBERT LISS must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section

6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S183858

SHARPE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that MICHAEL G. SHARPE, State Bar Number 123965, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

MICHAEL G. SHARPE must make restitution as recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on March 24, 2010. Any restitution owed to the Client Security Fund is enforceable as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.5, subdivisions (c) and (d).

MICHAEL G. SHARPE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S183859

SILVERSTEIN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that CYNTHIA B. SILVERSTEIN, State Bar Number 111294, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that her name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

CYNTHIA B. SILVERSTEIN must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S183861

ROY ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that DONALD EUGENE ROY, State Bar Number 96043, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

DONALD EUGENE ROY must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

ROZANSKI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that STANLEY HOWARD ROZANSKI, State Bar Number 81362, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. STANLEY HOWARD ROZANSKI must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. STANLEY HOWARD ROZANSKI must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S183870

GELB ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JON ERIC GELB, State Bar Number 168848, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JON ERIC GELB must comply with the conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 13, 2010; and
- 2. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JON ERIC GELB has complied with the terms of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JON ERIC GELB must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2011, 2012, and 2013. If JON ERIC GELB fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. JON ERIC GELB must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and that such payment be enforceable as provided for under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

TOLMAS ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that EDWIN TOLMAS, State Bar Number 35726, is suspended from the practice of law in California for two years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. EDWIN TOLMAS is suspended from the practice of law for the first 75 days of probation (with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6233 which commenced on June 11, 2009, and terminated on July 11, 2009);
- 2. EDWIN TOLMAS must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on April 21, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if EDWIN TOLMAS has complied with all conditions of probation, the two-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

EDWIN TOLMAS must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. EDWIN TOLMAS must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S183875

MISSIRLIAN ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that JOHN HAIG MISSIRLIAN, State Bar Number 66885, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. JOHN HAIG MISSIRLIAN is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation (with credit given for the period of inactive enrollment pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 6233 which commenced on December 9, 2006, and terminated on January 8, 2007);
- 2. JOHN HAIG MISSIRLIAN must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on April 6, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if JOHN HAIG MISSIRLIAN has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

JOHN HAIG MISSIRLIAN must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period.

Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S183877

PRENDIVILLE ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that ANDREW JAMES PRENDIVILLE, State Bar Number 93003, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys. ANDREW JAMES PRENDIVILLE must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S183884

APPELBLATT ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that Gary Michael Appelblatt, State Bar Number 144158, is summarily disbarred from the practice of law and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

Gary Michael Appelblatt must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment.

S183885

AKINYEMI ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that OYEWOLE AKINYEMI, State Bar Number 170745, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for two years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. OYEWOLE AKINYEMI is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. OYEWOLE AKINYEMI must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 13, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if OYEWOLE AKINYEMI has complied with

all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

OYEWOLE AKINYEMI must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. One-third of the costs must be paid with his membership fees for each of the years 2011, 2012, and 2013. If OYEWOLE AKINYEMI fails to pay any installment as described above, or as may be modified by the State Bar Court, the remaining balance is due and payable immediately. OYEWOLE AKINYEMI must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S183886

LUND ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ANDREW HENRY LUND, State Bar Number 130209, is suspended from the practice of law in California for four years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ANDREW HENRY LUND is suspended from the practice of law for the first 60 days of probation;
- 2. ANDREW HENRY LUND must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on May 4, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ANDREW HENRY LUND has complied with all conditions of probation, the four-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ANDREW HENRY LUND must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles within the same period. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).) Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. ANDREW HENRY LUND must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

KOCK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that ARLENE DOROTHY KOCK, State Bar Number 80276, is suspended from the practice of law in California for one year, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and she is placed on probation for one year subject to the following conditions:

- 1. ARLENE DOROTHY KOCK is suspended from the practice of law for the first 30 days of probation;
- 2. ARLENE DOROTHY KOCK must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Order Approving Stipulation filed on May 4, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if ARLENE DOROTHY KOCK has complied with all conditions of probation, the one-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

ARLENE DOROTHY KOCK must also take and pass the Multistate Professional Responsibility Examination within one year after the effective date of this order and provide satisfactory proof of such passage to the State Bar's Office of Probation in Los Angeles. Failure to do so may result in an automatic suspension. (Cal. Rules of Court, rule 9.10(b).)

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. ARLENE DOROTHY KOCK must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S183889 KIM ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed: disbarred

The court orders that HOKYUNG KIM, State Bar Number 151373, is disbarred from the practice of law in California and that his name is stricken from the roll of attorneys.

HOKYUNG KIM must also comply with rule 9.20 of the California Rules of Court and perform the acts specified in subdivisions (a) and (c) of that rule within 30 and 40 calendar days, respectively, after the effective date of this order.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. HOKYUNG KIM must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

HOODACK ON DISCIPLINE

Recommended discipline imposed

The court orders that LAWRENCE HOODACK, State Bar Number 97629, is suspended from the practice of law in California for three years, execution of that period of suspension is stayed, and he is placed on probation for three years subject to the following conditions:

- 1. LAWRENCE HOODACK is suspended from the practice of law for the first six months of probation (with credit given for the period of involuntary inactive enrollment under Business and Professions Code section 6233, which began on February 14, 2009, and ended on August 14, 2009);
- 2. LAWRENCE HOODACK must comply with the other conditions of probation recommended by the Hearing Department of the State Bar Court in its Decision filed on April 21, 2010; and
- 3. At the expiration of the period of probation, if LAWRENCE HOODACK has complied with all conditions of probation, the three-year period of stayed suspension will be satisfied and that suspension will be terminated.

Costs are awarded to the State Bar in accordance with Business and Professions Code section 6086.10 and are enforceable both as provided in Business and Professions Code section 6140.7 and as a money judgment. LAWRENCE HOODACK must also reimburse the Client Security Fund to the extent that the misconduct in this matter results in the payment of funds and such payment is enforceable as provided under Business and Professions Code section 6140.5.

S185667

STERLING ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of FRANCES WAYNE STERLING, State Bar Number 150277, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S185670

SCOTT ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of WILLIAM ANDREW SCOTT, State Bar Number 117126, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S185672

PATRIARCA ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LAURA PATRIARCA, State Bar Number 81055, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

MASLAN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MICHAEL MASLAN, State Bar Number 78857, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S185675

KREITMAN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of MARK JEFFREY KREITMAN, State Bar Number 125935, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S185676

HOMSY ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of DAVID MICHAEL HOMSY, State Bar Number 53769, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S185678

GRUBER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of LEWIS STEVEN GRUBER, State Bar Number 113137, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S185679

FISHER ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JOHN WOODRUFF FISHER, State Bar Number 113428, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S185681

CHARTZ ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of JANE B. CHARTZ, State Bar Number 104293, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

S185683

CARDENAS ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of RAYMOND CARDENAS, State Bar Number 30842, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

BOLIN ON RESIGNATION

Voluntary resignation accepted

The court orders that the voluntary resignation of SCOTT HAMILTON BOLIN, State Bar Number 137241, as a member of the State Bar of California is accepted.

BAR MISC. 4186 IN THE MATTER OF THE APPLICATION OF THE COMMITTEE OF BAR EXAMINERS OF THE STATE BAR OF CALIFORNIA FOR ADMISSION OF ATTORNEYS (MOTION NO. 937)

The written motion of the Committee of Bar Examiners that the following named applicants, who have fulfilled the requirements for admission to practice law in the State of California, be admitted to the practice of law in this state is hereby granted, with permission to the applicants to take the oath before a competent officer at another time and place:

(SEE ORIGINAL APPLICATION FOR THE LIST OF NAMES ATTACHED.)